

<b>Item No.</b> 7.	<b>Classification:</b> Open	<b>Date:</b> 4 July 2011	<b>Meeting Name:</b> Dulwich Community Council
<b>Report title:</b>		Consideration of objections to the proposed Traffic Calming and 20 mph speed limits in East Dulwich	
<b>Ward(s) or groups affected:</b>		East Dulwich	
<b>From:</b>		Head of Public Realm	

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the objections received to the statutory notice for the proposed implementation of traffic calming and 20 mph speed limits in East Dulwich are considered and rejected.
2. To Instruct officers to make the necessary traffic management order under the relevant powers contained in section 6 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and to implement the scheme as soon as possible.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

3. Dulwich Community Council approved traffic calming proposals in Matham Grove, Ashbourne Grove and Chesterfield Grove and to implement of 20 mph speed limits in 17 streets from the Cleaner Greener Safer programme.
4. The primary objective of the scheme is to improve safety by reducing the traffic speeds in the area.
5. Public consultation was undertaken in September 2010 for proposed traffic calming and speed limits in Matham Grove, Ashbourne Grove, Chesterfield Grove, Bassano Street and Blackwater Street. The results of the consultation were analysed and reported to the Dulwich Community Council, highlighting a shortfall in funding.
6. The public consultation undertaken for East Dulwich 20 mph speed limit area, bounded by Barry Road, Lordship Lane and Whately Road, lasted 3 weeks from the 19<sup>th</sup> February to the 12<sup>th</sup> March 2010.
7. A Traffic Management Order for the new speed limits in streets outlined in paragraphs 5 and 6 above, and the notice for the speed humps in Matham Grove, Ashbourne Grove and Chesterfield Grove only, were advertised in local papers and a number of notices were displayed in the street in accordance with the statutory procedure.

## KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

8. Objections to the proposed works were received in response to the Public Notice published in accordance with the statutory procedure. (see Appendix 1 and 2)
9. The results of Public consultation are shown in Appendix 3.

10. The responses to the public consultation indicates that there was a majority in favour of the proposed speed humps in Matham Grove, Chesterfield Grove and Ashbourne Grove
11. There was a majority in favour of 20 mph speed limit in Matham Grove, Ashbourne Grove, Chesterfield Grove, Bassano Street and Blackwater Street.
12. There was a majority in favour of 20 mph speed limit on roads bounded by Barry Road, Lordship Lane and Whately Road.
13. The road humps and the 20 mph speed limits have been designed in accordance with the current DfT recommendations.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

14. The recommendations contained within this report are consistent with the council's Local Implementation Plan (LIP).
15. The proposals to introduce 20 mph speed limit is also consistent with the council's policy to impose 20 mph limit on all roads for which Southwark Council is the Highway Authority.

#### **COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT**

16. The proposed traffic calming scheme in East Dulwich will impact the local community by improving the general road safety.

#### **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

17. The £52,000 required to complete the works will be funded from the existing Cleaner Greener Safer capital budget for this scheme. Additional funding of £25k was agreed by the Dulwich Community Council on 24 January 2011.

#### **REASONS FOR URGENCY/LATENESS**

18. The legal advice received stated that the scheme cannot be considered 'strategic' as it is funded by Cleaner Greener Safer funding which is devolved spending and therefore any determining of statutory objections from traffic management orders must be by the community council. This advice has only just been received.
19. Because of the summer break, it would be unreasonable to delay implementation of the scheme until after September meeting since the initial public consultation was completed in September 2010.

## APPENDICES

No.	Title
Appendix 1	Objections summary with officers responses
Appendix 2	Objections received
Appendix 3	Summary of public consultation result

## BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Consultation designs	Public Realm 160 Tooley Street London SE1P 5LX	Anil Apte, Senior Engineer 020 7525 2132
Dulwich Community Council Minutes on 24 January 2011	Communities Law and Governance, 160 Tooley Street, PO Box 64529 Southwark Council London SE1P 5LX	Beverley Olamijulo Constitutional Officer 020 7525 7234

## AUDIT TRAIL

<b>Lead Officer</b>	Des Walker, Head of Public Realm	
<b>Report Authors</b>	Anil Apte and Matthew Hill, Public Realm Programme Managers	
<b>Version</b>	Final	
<b>Dated</b>	21 June 2011	
<b>Key Decision?</b>	No	
<b>CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER</b>		
<b>Officer Title</b>	<b>Comments Sought</b>	<b>Comments included</b>
Strategic Director for Legal and Democratic Services	No	No
Finance Director	No	No
<b>Cabinet Member</b>	No	No
<b>Date final report sent Community Council Team</b>	4 July 2011	

